

United States Patent and Trademark Office

am

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/753,601	01/04/2001	Kenji Masaki	018775-813	1564		
21839 75	590 05/18/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P			JACKSON, JENISE E			
POST OFFICE ALEXANDRIA	BOX 1404 A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2131			
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005	DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/753,601	MASAKI, KENJI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jenise E. Jackson	2131		

	Jenise E. Jackson	2131					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completely following time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Applicant's remarks are unpersuasive. The Applicant states that Kakiuchi does not disclose a second controller. Kakiuchi was not relied on for the second controller, Nagashima was relied on for the second controller(see action above).

- The Applicant states that Kakiuchi et al. does not disclose a first controller that functions to determine whether data on output request passes the driver software, in sending data to the output device. The Examiner disagrees with the Applicant. Kakiuchi discloses a controller that includes an examination means that determines whether data passes the driver software by determining whether data is sent to the output device(see col. 3, lines 23-44). The output device of Kakiuchi is the printer(see col. 3, lines 45-67).
- 12. The Applicant states that Nagashima does not disclose a second controller that prohibits data from being sent to an output device or output request on which data bypasses the driver software. The Examiner disagrees with the Applicant. Nagashima discloses an image processing circuit that includes a forgery preventing mode, that determines if the copying is a forgery copying is prevented for performing. This forgery prevention mode is a part of the controller(see col. 3, lines 50-65). The Examiner asserts that the bypassing the driver it the forgery prevention of Nagashima. Nagashima does prohibits printing by determining whether forgery has occurred, if it has determined that forgery has occurred copying/printing is disabled(see col. 3, lines 50-65).
- 13. The Applicant's argument as per claim 3, is persuasive. Nagashima discloses setting a value if the image data is recognized to be counterfeit. Prior art fails to disclose setting a value to be output to an output device. The prior art discloses setting a value to determine whether the object is a fake or forgery. Therefore, this claim is allowed for the feature of "setting a value"...
- 14. The Applicant states that Nagashima et al. does not disclose an encryptor for encrypting data passing the driver software, but not for encrypting data sent to the output device that bypasses the driver software. Nagashima et al. discloses that encryption/decryption is performed in the controller(see col. 3, lines 51-55). Nagashima et al. does not disclose that encryption is performed all the time. Nagashima discloses that a determining circuit executes forgery determination, it does not disclose that in the forgery determination encryption is done(see col. 4, lines 54-63).
- 15. Lastly, installing driver software, is inherent, because Kakiuchi et al. and Nagashima disclose drivers. Furthermore, Kakiuchi discloses a printer driver software which is installed in a hard disk(see col. 7, lines 60-62).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jenise E Jackson whose telephone number is (571) 272-3791. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00 a.m. - 3:30 p.m.) alternate Friday's. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

*T*9 May 13, 2005

> AYAZ SHEIKH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100